University of Utah

Spring 2017, COMM 3510 Intro to Web Design Section 1
Instructor: GEHL, ROBERT (Primary)

There were: 21 possible respondents.

	Question Text	N	RR	My Avg	COMM Avg	COMM sp17	Div Avg	Div sp17	Sch Avg	Sch sp17	Str Disagr	Disagr	Mild Disagr	Mild Agree	Agree	Str Agree
Grp	Instructor Questions		n/a	5.52	5.34	5.47	5.35	5.43	5.29	5.31						
Grp	Course Questions		n/a	5.39	5.18	5.36	5.21	5.33	5.15	5.22						
1	The course objectives were clearly stated.	12	57%	5.42	5.24	5.43	5.25	5.39	5.23	5.31	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	8% (1)	42% (5)	50% (6)
2	The course objectives were met.	12	57%	5.42	5.24	5.41	5.24	5.38	5.21	5.29	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	8% (1)	42% (5)	50% (6)
3	The course content was well organized.	12	57%	5.33	5.16	5.35	5.16	5.29	5.12	5.18	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	17% (2)	33% (4)	50% (6)
4	The course materials were helpful in meeting course objectives.	12	57%	5.33	5.13	5.32	5.17	5.30	5.11	5.17	0% (0)	0% (0)	8% (1)	0% (0)	42% (5)	50% (6)
5	Assignments and exams reflected what was covered in the course.	12	57%	5.5	5.24	5.45	5.27	5.42	5.18	5.26	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	8% (1)	33% (4)	58% (7)
6	I learned a great deal in this course.	11	52%	5.27	5.11	5.26	5.14	5.26	5.11	5.17	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	18% (2)	36% (4)	45% (5)
7	Overall, this was an effective course.	12	57%	5.42	5.13	5.30	5.15	5.28	5.10	5.16	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	8% (1)	42% (5)	50% (6)
9	The instructor was organized.	12	57%	5.42	5.24	5.41	5.24	5.35	5.22	5.26	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	8% (1)	42% (5)	50% (6)
10	The instructor presented course content effectively.	12	57%	5.42	5.18	5.37	5.20	5.32	5.13	5.18	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	8% (1)	42% (5)	50% (6)
11	The instructor created/supported a classroom environment that was respectful.	12	57%	5.58	5.38	5.53	5.38	5.51	5.34	5.41	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	42% (5)	58% (7)
12	The instructor demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	12	57%	5.58	5.45	5.58	5.47	5.58	5.43	5.47	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	42% (5)	58% (7)
13	The instructor encouraged student opinions and participation.	12	57%	5.5	5.42	5.57	5.41	5.53	5.34	5.38	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	8% (1)	33% (4)	58% (7)
14	The instructor was available for consultation with students.	12	57%	5.5	5.37	5.50	5.37	5.47	5.30	5.36	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	8% (1)	33% (4)	58% (7)
15	Overall, this was an effective instructor.	12	57%	5.67	5.27	5.43	5.29	5.40	5.23	5.27	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	33% (4)	67% (8)

Text Responses

Comments on course effectiveness

I just like that the class was very structured, intuitively presented, and easy to grasp.

The course took web design step by step and started with the basics then built its way up to the more difficult material. Assignments reflected the lectures and instruction from the week prior.

na

The material was all there but I felt the re-enforcement of the material may have been a little better but the content had to be gone through quickly. But I did learn how to work with clients.

You expect too much for something that is so complicated. It is only an intro class and I feel like it is an advanced class.

The use of Edit Notepad+, Filezilla, and Canvas contributed to my learning in this course.

Real world application Lab days to experiment with coding

Instructor Comments

There was a very appropriate balance between learning and humor. Just enough humor to keep the class interesting, but never so much that it became distracting.

Very available for questions. very knowledgeable about the subject matter.

na

Rob was very helpful in learning the language of web design. His style of teaching, including design labs that helped to put the language into use.

Very casual, yet informative and direct attitude proved for a fun, kinetic learning experience. Understanding course material foreign to some students so very patient. Thorough in covering content before jumping to next thing. Good communication throughout the course on updates and schedule.

Rob is a great professor who take the time explaining difficult concepts. I would suggest spending more time with the 'box model'.