## University of Utah



Custom Report for ROBERT GEHL Teaching COMM 6640 Graduate Comm Tech & Culture LEC sec: 1 2012 Fall

There were: 4 possible respondents.

Cross-listed with: COMM 5640(excluded)

Order		Question Text	N	RR	My Avg	COMM Avg	COMM F12	Div Avg	Div F12	Sch Avg	Sch F12						
Grp	GEHL	Instructor Questions		n/a	5.71	5.33	5.35	5.34	5.33	5.41	5.39						
Grp		Course Questions		n/a	5.86	5.17	5.24	5.19	5.22	5.30	5.29						
												Str Disagr	Disagr	Mild Disagr	Mild Agree	Agree	Str Agree
1		Objectives clearly stated	2	50%	6	5.23	5.28	5.25	5.26	5.23	5.22	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (2)
2		Objectives met	2	50%	6	5.23	5.30	5.23	5.26	5.20	5.20	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (2)
3		Content well-organized	2	50%	5.5	5.15	5.21	5.15	5.17	5.12	5.11	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	50% (1)	50% (1)
4		Course materials helpful	2	50%	6	5.12	5.19	5.16	5.18	5.10	5.10	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (2)
5		Assignments & exams covered the course	2	50%	5.5	5.23	5.32	5.27	5.31	5.17	5.17	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	50% (1)	50% (1)
6		Learned great deal	2	50%	6	5.10	5.19	5.14	5.16	5.10	5.09	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (2)
7		Overall effective course	2	50%	6	5.12	5.21	5.14	5.17	5.09	5.09	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (2)
9	GEHL	Instructor was organized	2	50%	6	5.24	5.27	5.24	5.24	5.22	5.21	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (2)
10	GEHL	Instructor presented effectively	2	50%	5.5	5.18	5.22	5.20	5.20	5.13	5.12	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	50% (1)	50% (1)
11	GEHL	Instructor created respectful environment	2	50%	6	5.37	5.40	5.38	5.37	5.34	5.33	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (2)
12	GEHL	Demonstrated thorough knowledge	2	50%	6	5.45	5.45	5.48	5.45	5.44	5.41	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (2)
13	GEHL	Instructor encouraged questions/ opinions	2	50%	5.5	5.41	5.44	5.40	5.39	5.34	5.33	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	50% (1)	50% (1)
14	GEHL	Instructor available for student consultation	2	50%	5.5	5.36	5.39	5.37	5.37	5.30	5.29	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	50% (1)	50% (1)
15	GEHL	Overall effective instructor	2	50%	5.5	5.27	5.31	5.29	5.28	5.23	5.21	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	50% (1)	50% (1)

## Text Responses

## Question: Comments on course effectiveness

Rob consistently and successfully morphed the class into a discussion where students were encouraged to participate. I felt like I learned the content material more efficiently because we were constantly prompted to think about concepts outside of the box. The topics were diversified enough so that we were able to learn different technological aspects whether it were time periods or cultural changes. I thought that the weekly forums were a good way to get students to reflect on what they've been learning about. I would love to see a continuation of this class offered, "Communication and Technology II."

The readings were great and very appropriate for all levels of students in this course. The professor created an environment that prompted and invited questions and discussions. Listening to the graduate presentations was really interesting.

## Question: Comments, suggestions on instructor performance

Rob consistently and successfully morphed the class into a discussion where students were encouraged to participate. I felt like I learned the content material more efficiently because we were constantly prompted to think about concepts outside of the box. The topics were diversified enough so that we were able to learn different technological aspects whether it were time periods or cultural changes. I thought that the weekly forums were a good way to get students to reflect on what they've been learning about. I would love to see a continuation of this class offered, "Communication and Technology II."

He asked us very appropriate and topical questions related to the topics and contemporary issues. He was friendly and willing to review assignments.